

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/628,607	MOORE, MARGARET A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melvin H Pollack	2145

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Melvin H Pollack (USPTO). (3) _____.

(2) Paul Clark (Req. No. 30,162). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 December 2004

Time: 3:00 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to explore possibility of examiner's amendment to add limitations mentioned in remarks but not in claims, notably real-time scheduled dialogue and personalized recommendations. Attorney did consider the amendment as acceptable. However, further discussion with Examiner's primary and SPE has prompted the examiner to withdraw the proposed examiner's amendment.